



GOVERNOR'S OFFICE OF  
BUDGET AND PROGRAM PLANNING

## Fiscal Note 2017 Biennium

<b>Bill #</b>	SB0153	<b>Title:</b>	Require wearing of hunter orange
<b>Primary Sponsor:</b>	Sales, Scott	<b>Status:</b>	As Introduced

- |   |  |  |
|---|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Significant Local Gov Impact     | <input type="checkbox"/> Needs to be included in HB 2  | <input type="checkbox"/> Technical Concerns              |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Included in the Executive Budget | <input type="checkbox"/> Significant Long-Term Impacts | <input type="checkbox"/> Dedicated Revenue Form Attached |

### **FISCAL SUMMARY**

	<b><u>FY 2016 Difference</u></b>	<b><u>FY 2017 Difference</u></b>	<b><u>FY 2018 Difference</u></b>	<b><u>FY 2019 Difference</u></b>
<b>Expenditures:</b>				
General Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
<b>Revenue:</b>				
General Fund	\$0	\$4,827	\$4,827	\$4,827
<b>Net Impact-General Fund Balance:</b>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$4,827</u>	<u>\$4,827</u>	<u>\$4,827</u>

**Description of fiscal impact:** SB 153 requires all individuals to wear hunter orange when in an area or district open to hunting. There would be a minimal increase in fine revenue from citations issued to individuals for not wearing orange.

### **FISCAL ANALYSIS**

#### **Assumptions:**

1. There would be an estimated 3,218 contacts within non-hunters related to the wearing of hunter orange.
2. In the first year, while the public is educated about the hunter orange requirement, warnings would be issued for noncompliance. Fines would be assessed in subsequent years.
3. Fines assessed for non-compliance are at least \$10 and not more than \$20.
4. Based on 20% non-compliance and an average fine of \$15, revenue generated is estimated to be \$9,654 (3,218 contacts x 20% x \$15).
5. Based on current statute, one half of the revenue is deposited in the general fund and the county in which the citation was issued would receive the other half. The estimate for each half is \$4,827.

	<u>FY 2016 Difference</u>	<u>FY 2017 Difference</u>	<u>FY 2018 Difference</u>	<u>FY 2019 Difference</u>
<b><u>Fiscal Impact:</u></b>				
<b><u>Expenditures:</u></b>				
TOTAL Expenditures	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
<b><u>Funding of Expenditures:</u></b>				
General Fund (01)	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
TOTAL Funding of Exp.	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
<b><u>Revenues:</u></b>				
General Fund (01)	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$4,827</u>	<u>\$4,827</u>	<u>\$4,827</u>
TOTAL Revenues	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$4,827</u>	<u>\$4,827</u>	<u>\$4,827</u>
<b><u>Net Impact to Fund Balance (Revenue minus Funding of Expenditures):</u></b>				
General Fund (01)	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$4,827</u>	<u>\$4,827</u>	<u>\$4,827</u>

**Effect on County or Other Local Revenues or Expenditures:**

1. Approximately \$5,000 of additional revenue would be received in the counties where violations occur.

\_\_\_\_\_  
*Sponsor's Initials*\_\_\_\_\_  
*Date*\_\_\_\_\_  
*Budget Director's Initials*\_\_\_\_\_  
*Date*